

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,644	09/17/2003	Isamu Kaneyasu	031159	3814	
38834 7590 04/04/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER		
			FATAHI YAR, MAHMOUD		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
·	,	2629			
QUARTED OT ATUTOR	NA DEDICE OF DESCRIPTION	MAY DATE	1 200,000		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/663,644	ISAMU KANEYA	ISAMU KANEYASU			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mike Fatahiyar	2629				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
VVHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT INTO THE MAILING DISTRICT DISTRICT INTO THE MAILING DISTRICT INTO THE MAILING DISTRICT DIS	DATE OF THIS COMM 136(a). In no event, however, m will apply and will expire SIX (6) e. cause the application to beco	UNICATION. hay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 L	December 2006					
		s action is non-final.					
3)□							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5 and 7-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-5,7 and 10</u> is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>8,9 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□		or election requirement					
Applicat	ion Papers						
_	The specification is objected to by the Examina	or					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		d to by the Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Adminor. Hoto the dita		10 102.			
_	-		0.0440(.)(1)(0				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:	ta bassa bassa sasah sal					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* 0	application from the International Burea	` ` ' ' '	makes as to ad				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	oi the centiled copies	not received.				
			·				
Attachmen	• •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		of Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	· · ·					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 8-9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, line 5, the number "5" should be deleted.

In claim 14, line 1, the recitation "can be read" is vague and indefinite because it is not positively clear whether that the recording medium can be read by the computer.

Corrections and/or clarification is required.

2. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims 11-14 fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the reply filed 12/14/0-6. In that paper, applicant has stated that "the examiner has failed to appreciate that in the present claimed invention, the level bias voltage that is added or applied to the preamplifier circuit is changed depending on whether the video signal is related to a still image or to a moving image", and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because the noted claims 11-14 do not specify that the level of the bias voltage applied to the preamplifier circuit is changed in accordance with the video signal that is either a video signal related to a still image or a video signal related to a moving image. Thus, the noted claims are incomplete.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,644 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fujiyoshi(6,211,854B1).

Fujiyoshi discloses a computer for displaying images on a screen of a display device comprising a video detection means(11), a transmission portion(6-9, 12-13), a table(15) and a display(5) which all function as claimed.

- 5. Claims 2-7 and 10 are allowed.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-9 and 11-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoon, Motonakano et al and Kim are made of record to show various types of adding a bias voltage to a preamplifier circuit of a display driving device.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Fatahiyar whose telephone number is (571)272-7688. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

MF

M. Fatahiyar

April 1, 2007